

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Kandiyohi SWCD District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water quality, erosion control, and feedlots. It was also felt that non-conforming septic systems was a serious water quality problem, but currently there is not a cost share practice for this. Abandoned farmstead windbreaks provide important wildlife habitat, but there are no incentives for landowners to keep them. It was hoped that cost share practices might be added in the future to address these concerns.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: None
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	High	10
B1 Water Resource	High	9
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	High	9
C Habitat Improvement	High	9
D Air Quality	Low	5
E Impaired Water	NA	NA
F Distance	High	10
G Grazing System	Low	5
H Forest Mgt.	High	9
Additional Local*		

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date